Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

5,8-Dimethoxy-2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)quinoxaline

Xiao-Jun Zhao and Miao Du*

College of Chemistry and Life Science, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300074, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: dumiao@public.tpt.tj.cn

conceptionence e-mail: dumiaospublic.pt.

Received 2 June 2003 Accepted 17 July 2003 Online 9 August 2003

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $C_{20}H_{16}N_4O_2$, the two pyridine rings subtend dihedral angles of 39.0 (1) and 43.4 (2)° with the mean quinoxaline plane and 67.6 (1)° with each other. The orientation of the pyridine rings is such that their N-donors face each other (*cis–cis* conformation) with a separation of 3.169 (2) Å. There exist significant $\pi - \pi$ interactions responsible for the formation of stacks along the crystallographic *a* axis of the crystal.

Comment

Polypyridyl bridging ligands have attracted considerable interest in recent years because of their potential as building blocks for supramolecular assemblies under complexation with transition metal ions (Leininger *et al.*, 2000; Bu *et al.*, 2001) and because the photophysical and redox properties of their functional complexes (Balzani *et al.*, 1996; Scott *et al.*, 1999; Veroni *et al.*, 2003) make them useful as light-harvesting and photonic molecular devices. Some of the polypyridyl compounds have been intensively studied [such as 2,3-bis(2pyridyl)quinoxaline and its derivatives, which represent an important class of chelating agents] because of the potential functionality of their metal complexes as molecular devices and DNA probes (Holmlin & Barton, 1995; Balzani, Campagana *et al.*, 1998; Balzani, Gomez-Lopez *et al.*, 1998). In our



efforts to investigate systematically the syntheses, crystal structures and coordination chemistry of such compounds, we have reported the crystal structures of 5,6-bis(2-pyridyl)-2,3-pyrazinedicarbonitrile (Du *et al.*, 2001) and of the so-called

proton-sponge compounds 5,8-dimethoxy-2,3-bis(2-pyridinio)quinoxaline dinitrate (Liu *et al.*, 2001) and 5-nitro-2,3-bis-(2-pyridinio)quinoxaline diperchlorate (Xu *et al.*, 2002). In the present paper, we report the crystal structure of the title compound, namely 5,8-dimethoxy-2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)quinoxaline, (I).

Compound (I) consists of a quinoxaline ring system substituted with two pyridyl rings and two methoxy groups. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 1. Such compounds have the potential to generate three possible configurations under appropriate conditions, viz. cis-cis, cistrans and trans-trans (referring to the relations of the pyridyl N atoms to the quinoxaline system). The crystal structure of (I) exhibits the cis-cis conformational arrangement of the pyridyl rings (see Scheme below). A cis-cis conformation was also observed in the crystal structure of the N-protonated nitrate of the title compound, viz. 5,8-dimethoxy-2,3-bis(2pyridinio)quinoxaline dinitrate (Liu et al., 2001), and this conformation is also consistent with other related compounds (Woźniak, 1991; Kruger et al., 2001). However, a variety of conformational arrangements of the pyridyl rings of (I) are observed in its transition metal complexes, namely trans-trans in the Zn^{II} complex [Zn(I)(H₂O)(NO₃)₂]·2CH₃CN (Bu et al., 2002); cis-trans in the Ag^I complex [Ag(I)(CH₃CN)₂]₂(ClO₄)₂ (Bu *et al.*, 2001), the Cu^I complex $[Cu(I)(CH_3CN)]_2(ClO_4)_2$, the Ni^{II} complex [Ni(I)(NO₃)(H₂O)₂](NO₃)·2CH₃CN and the Co^{II} complex [Co(I)Cl₂(H₂O)] (Bu *et al.*, 2002); and *cis-cis* in the Cu^{II} complex $[Cu(I)(NO_3)_2] \cdot CH_3CN$ (Bu *et al.*, 2002). These results indicate that the configuration of the title compound can spontaneously convert when coordinating to different metal centers.



The two planar pyridyl rings are not coplanar with each other or with the quinoxaline system because of steric clashes between the H atoms of the pyridyl rings. For the N4-pyridine ring, the maximum deviation of any atoms from the best-fit plane is 0.008 (2) Å, the average deviation being 0.005 (1) Å. For the N3-containing ring, the corresponding values are 0.010 (1) and 0.006 (2) Å. The dihedral angle subtended by the two pyridyl rings is 67.6 (1)° and the intramolecular $N_{py} \cdots N_{py}$ separation is 3.169 (2) Å, which are comparable to the values





The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability level.

in 6,7-dimethyl-2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)quinoxaline [59.3 (1)° and 2.891 (2) Å; Woźniak, 1991]. The existence of the adjacent pyridine substituents causes substantial out-of-plane twist in the quinoxaline ring system so that the C2-C3-C12-C18torsion angle $[-22.2 (2)^{\circ}]$ is similar to that observed in the metal complexes [between 7.8 (3) and $-20.3 (5)^{\circ}$; Bu *et al.*, 2002].

In the quinoxaline system, the mean deviation of any atom from the best-fit plane describing it is 0.054 (2) Å, with a maximum deviation of 0.096(1) Å. The system can be better described as two rings; one is planar [C4-C9, the mean and maximum deviations being 0.009 (2) and 0.018 (2) Å, respectively], while the other (N1/C2/C3/N2/C4/C9) adopts a twistboat conformation, albeit with only a small distortion [the mean and maximum deviations are 0.035(2) and 0.054(2) Å, respectively]. The planes of the two rings in the quinoxaline system subtend a dihedral angle of $5.3 (2)^{\circ}$, and the mean plane of the quinoxaline system makes dihedral angles of 39.0 (1) and 43.4 (2) $^{\circ}$ with the planes of the two pyridyl rings. It is concluded that the non-planarity of the quinoxaline system is caused by the intramolecular non-bonding contacts of the pyridyl rings, as this conformation is not observed in monopyridine-substituted quinoxaline compounds (Veroni et al., 2003). The C2-N1 and C3-N2 bond distances [mean 1.317 (2) Å] are noticeably shorter than the N1–C9 and N2– C4 distances [mean 1.362 (2) Å], which is a typical geometry for the quinoxaline system (Rasmussen et al., 1990). All N-C bond lengths are well within the range of values normally considered standard for single C-N (1.47 Å) and double C = N (1.28 Å) bonds.

Analysis of the crystal packing of (I) showed no weak hydrogen-bonding interactions of the C-H \cdots N or C-H \cdots O types. However, the neighboring parallel molecules in the unit cell show substantial π - π -stacking interactions; the closest approach between the quinoxaline systems is \sim 3.4 Å, with the molecular stacks stretching along the *a* direction. In addition, three weak $C-H \cdot \cdot \pi$ interactions were also observed, involving both the pyridyl rings and the quinoxaline systems. The $H \cdots Cg$ (Cg is the centroid of the aromatic ring) and $H \cdots$ Perp (Perp is the normal distance of the H atom to the aromatic ring) distances are in the ranges 3.10-3.19 and 2.89-3.10 Å, respectively, and are thus slightly longer than those found previously (Desiraju & Steiner, 1999). Examination of the structure with PLATON (Spek, 2003) showed that there were no solvent-accessible voids.

Experimental

Compound (I) was synthesized and purified according to the method described by Bu et al. (2001). Light-yellow cubic single crystals of the title compound suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by recrystallization from a CH₃OH/CH₂Cl₂ solution.

Crvstal data

$C_{20}H_{16}N_4O_2$	$D_x = 1.372 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 344.37$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 6907
$a = 7.0626 (11) \text{\AA}$	reflections
b = 17.355 (3) Å	$\theta = 1.9-25.0^{\circ}$
c = 13.674 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 95.958 \ (4)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 1667.0 (5) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, light yellow
Z = 4	$0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000	2954 independent reflections
diffractometer	2125 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.032$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$
[SAINT (Bruker 1998) and	$h = -5 \rightarrow 8$
SADABS (Sheldrick, 1997)]	$k = -20 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\min} = 0.967, T_{\max} = 0.986$	$l = -16 \rightarrow 15$
6907 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_a^2) + (0.06P)^2]$
R(F) = 0.038	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.108$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
S = 1.03	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$
2954 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.15 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
236 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction coefficient: 0.0213 (19)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C8	1.3696 (19)	N3-C12	1.3447 (19)
O2-C5	1.3667 (19)	N1-C2	1.3178 (19)
N4-C19	1.344 (2)	N1-C9	1.3617 (18)
N4-C18	1.346 (2)	N2-C3	1.3155 (18)
N3-C16	1.340 (2)	N2-C4	1.3628 (18)
C19-N4-C18	116.68 (15)	C2-N1-C9	118.06 (13)
C16-N3-C12	116.47 (15)	C3-N2-C4	117.86 (13)

All H atoms were found in a difference electron-density map but were then placed in calculated positions (C–H = 0.93 and 0.96 Å for aromatic and methoxy H atoms, respectively) and included in the final refinement using the riding-model approximation, with displacement parameters derived from the C atoms to which they were bonded $[U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C) \text{ and } 1.5U_{eq}(C) \text{ for aromatic and methoxy H atoms, respectively]}.$

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *XP* in *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1998).

This work was supported financially by the Starting Fund of Tianjin Normal University and the Natural Science Foundation of the Tianjin Education Commission (grant No. 20020901).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GA1023). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Balzani, V., Campagna, S., Denti, G., Juris, A., Serroni, S. & Venturi, M. (1998). Acc. Chem. Res. 31, 26–34.
- Balzani, V., Gomez-Lopez, M. & Stoddart, J. F. (1998). Acc. Chem. Res. 31, 405–414.

- Balzani, V., Juris, A., Venturi, M., Campagna, S. & Serroni, S. (1996). Chem. Rev. 96, 759–833.
- Bruker (1998). SMART, SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bu, X.-H., Liu, H., Du, M., Wong, K. M.-C. & Yam, V. W.-W. (2002). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 333, 32–40.
- Bu, X.-H., Liu, H., Du, M., Wong, K. M.-C., Yam, V. W.-W. & Shionoya, M. (2001). *Inorg. Chem.* 40, 4143–4149.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (1999). The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology. Oxford University Press.
- Du, M., Bu, X.-H., Liu, H. & Leng, X.-B. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 201-202.
- Holmlin, R. E. & Barton, J. K. (1995). Inorg. Chem. 34, 7-8.
- Kruger, P. E., Mackie, P. R. & Nieuwenhuyzen, M. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1079–1083.
- Leininger, S., Olenyuk, B. & Stang, P. J. (2000). Chem. Rev. 100, 853-908.
- Liu, H., Du, M., Guo, Y.-M. & Bu, X.-H. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, 0372–0374.
 Rasmussen, S. C., Richter, M. M., Yi, E., Place, H. & Brewer, K. J. (1990). Inorg. Chem. 29, 3926–3932.
- Scott, S. M., Gordon, K. C. & Burrell, A. K. (1999). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2669–2673.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Veroni, I., Rontoyianni, A. & Mitsopoulou, C. A. (2003). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 255–260.
- Woźniak, K. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 1761-1763.
- Xu, Q., Du, M., Guo, Y.-M. & Bu, X.-H. (2002). Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 21, 621–625.